

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/586,131	06/02/2000	Marc Delcourt	1184-00	6329	
22469	7590 09/29/2004		EXAMINER		
	HARRISON SEGAL	TRAN, MY CHAU T			
1600 MARKE	TSTREET				
SUITE 3600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHILADELPH	HIA, PA 19103	1639			

DATE MAILED: 09/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary									
		09/586,131		DELCOURT, MARC					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
		MY-CHAU		1639					
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the	over sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	'ess				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS C  - Extensions of time may be available under tafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing dature of the period for reply specified above, the salter to reply within the set or extended put any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	communication.  the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  of this communication.  than thirty (30) days, a reply  maximum statutory period weriod for reply will, by statute,  aree months after the mailing	36(a). In no even y within the statut vill apply and will , cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this coming (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Status									
1)⊠ Responsive to communica	tion(s) filed on 20 M	av 2004.							
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .		action is no	n-final.						
<u> </u>	,			secution as to the n	nerits is				
, , ,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10,12-20 and 2 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allow 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-19 is 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objects	<u>0 and 23</u> is/are withoused. /are rejected. cted to.	drawn from	consideration.						
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specification is objecte 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02.</u> Applicant may not request tha Replacement drawing sheet(s 11)☐ The oath or declaration is o	June 2000 is/are: a) t any objection to the correction to the correction to the correction including the correction.	⊠ accepted drawing(s) be ion is required	held in abeyance. See I if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR	• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
12) Acknowledgment is made of a) All b) Some * c) N  1. Certified copies of the 2. Certified copies of the 3. Copies of the certified application from the * See the attached detailed Of	one of: e priority documents e priority documents d copies of the prior International Bureau	s have been s have been ity documen ı (PCT Rule	received. received in Application ts have been received 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	age				
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>.</b>	4	Interview Summary						
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (Property Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>			Paper No(s)/Mail Da  ) Notice of Informal Pa  ) Other:		52)				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Status of Claims

- 1. Applicant's amendment filed 5/20/2004 is acknowledged and entered. Claims 1, 16, and 18-19 have been amended.
- 2. Claims 1, and 16 were amended and Claim 23 was added by the amendment filed on 10/20/2003.
- 3. Claims 11, and 21-22 were canceled by the amendment filed on 11/25/2002.
- 4. Claims 1-10, 12-20, and 23 are pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

- 5. Claims 20 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to *nonelected invention*, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/12/2001.
- 6. Claim 23 is withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03 since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. See Office Action mailed 2/19/2004.

Page 3

Art Unit: 1639

7. This application contains claims 20 and 23 are drawn to an invention nonelected **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/12/2001 and Office Action mailed 2/19/2004. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

## Priority

- 8. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file. Thus the denial of the foreign priority is withdrawn.
- 9. This application is a continuation of PCT/FR98/02629 filed on 12/4/1998, which claims priority to a foreign application France 97/15319 filed 12/04/1997.
- 10. Claims 1-10, and 12-19 are treated on the merit in this Office Action.

### Withdrawn Rejections

11. The rejections of claims 1-10, and 12-19 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention have been withdrawn in light of applicant's amendments of claims 1, 16, and 18-19.

Art Unit: 1639

# New Rejections – Necessitated by Amendment Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 12. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 13. Claims 1-10, and 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This rejection is made in view of the amendments to Claims 1, 16, and 18 that overcome the previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.
  - a. The term "substantially" in claims 1, 16, and 18 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "substantially" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear as to the degree of 'similarity' that the second initial library would have to be to be considered "substantially" the same as the first initial library as claimed in step f) of claim 1, step h) of claim 16, and step e) of claim 18.
  - b. The screening step (e) of claim 1 with regard to the subjecting step (d) of claim 1 is incomplete and thus is indefinite. Step (d) of claim 1 recite the step of "subjecting the first initial library to a plurality of restriction enzymes individually to produce a group of monodigested libraries", and step (e) of claim 1 recite the step of "screening the group of monodigested libraries for the known characteristic to detect the presence of the target fragments"; however the screening step is incomplete since it is unclear as to the manner in which the monodigested libraries are being screened. That is the subjecting step can

Art Unit: 1639

be interpreted as either each individual member of the first initial library is being subjected to each individual restriction enzyme or each 'portion' of the first initial library is being subjected to each individual restriction enzyme and thus produce a group of monodigested libraries. The screening step would be different for the resulting 'products' of the subjecting step, and thus the screening step (e) of claim 1 with regard to the subjecting step (d) of claim 1 is indefinite.

- c. Claims 1, 16, and 18 are incomplete and thus are indefinite because it is unclear as the relationship of the first initial library and the second initial library with regard to the claimed method of isolating a target nucleic acid fragment having known characteristic.
- d. Claim 19 is incomplete and thus is indefinite because the resulting 'product' would be one monodigested library not 'a series of monodigested libraries' as claimed in the process of claim 19. Claim 19 recite a method for producing a series of monodigested libraries from a group of fragments. That is, the claimed method is incomplete for omitting essential steps, such omission amounting to a gap between the steps. See MPEP § 2172.01.

### Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 1-10, and 12-19 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Art Unit: 1639

#### Conclusion

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MY-CHAU T TRAN whose telephone number is 571-272-0810. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.: 8:00-2:30; Tues.-Thurs.: 7:30-5:00; Fri.: 8:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, ANDREW WANG can be reached on 571-272-0811. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1639

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

mct

September 22, 2004

PADMASHRI PONNALURI PRIMARY EXAMINER